PUBLIC COPY

dentifying data deleted to prevent clearly unwarranted



U.S. Department of Homeland Security 20 Mass, Rm. A3042, 425 I Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20536

U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services

FILE:

EAC 02 077 52934

Office: VERMONT SERVICE CENTER

Date: MAY 04 2004

IN RE:

Petitioner:

Beneficiary:

**PETITION:** 

Petition for a Nonimmigrant Worker Pursuant to Section 101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b) of the

Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b)

## ON BEHALF OF PETITIONER:



## **INSTRUCTIONS:**

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All documents have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

Robert P. Wiemann, Director Administrative Appeals Office **DISCUSSION:** The service center director denied the nonimmigrant visa petition and the matter is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be summarily dismissed.

The petitioner operates a computer training center. It seeks to employ the beneficiary as an institution director, and endeavors to classify him as a nonimmigrant worker in a specialty occupation pursuant to section 101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b).

As stated in 8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(1)(v), an appeal shall be summarily dismissed if the party concerned fails to identify specifically any erroneous conclusion of law or statement of fact for the appeal. The director determined that the beneficiary was not qualified to perform the duties of a specialty occupation. Accordingly, the petition was denied.

On appeal, counsel asks for an additional 60 days in which to file a brief and/or additional evidence. To date, no brief has been received. The record is, therefore, deemed complete. The petitioner indicates that the director's decision was an abuse of discretion and made against the weight of the evidence. The petitioner does not, however, specifically reference any erroneous conclusion of law or statement of fact upon which the appeal is based. The appellant must do more than simply ask for an appeal. It must clearly demonstrate the basis for the appeal. This, the appellant has failed to do. As such, the appeal must be dismissed.

**ORDER:** The appeal is dismissed.